UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,780	03/26/2004	Yang Gi Kim	LT-0054	7020
34610 KED & ASSOC	7590 03/17/200 CIATES, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 22120	00	BRINEY III, WALTER F		
Chantilly, VA 2	30155-1200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No. Applicant(s)			
		10/809,78	30	KIM ET AL.		
		Examine	•	Art Unit		
		WALTER	F. BRINEY III	2615		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication TReply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN THE MAILING I	NG DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no evon. period will apply and we statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is r llowance except	non-final. for formal matters, pro		ne merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 and 21-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a on Papers The specification is objected to by the Example 1.	thdrawn from co				
_	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the	to the drawing(s) to trection is required.	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	18)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2615

15

20

on 19 August 2007).

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Replay Gain A Proposed Standard, http://www.replaygain.org (retrieved on 19 August 2007) (last updated on 10 October 2001) (herein *Replay Gain*) in view of MP3Gain version 0.9.7,

http://web.archive.org/web/20021203004344/home.hccnet.nl/h.edskes/mirror.htm
(retrieved on 19 August 2007) (first available on 30 October 2002) (herein MP3Gain)
and further in view of ISO 11172-3, Coding of Moving Pictures and Associated
Audio For Digital Storage Media at up to about 1.5 MBit/s, Part 3 Audio, (retrieved
02 March 2008) (herein ISO) (used to show inherent features of MP3 decoding).
The following list of references are used herein strictly for establishing dates of
certain prior art features used to reject the claims: Windows XP, Wikipedia,
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Windows_XP (retrieved on 19 August 2007); MP3Gain
0.9.7 Final Beta Forum,
http://www.hydrogenaudio.org/forums/lofiversion/index.php/t4132.html (retrieved

Application/Control Number: 10/809,780 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

5

10

15

20

Claim 1 is limited to a method for adjusting an output level of audio data to be reproduced. Claim 1, as instantly amended, further limits the detecting an output level of temporarily stored audio step, to wit, the detecting step uses scale factors of sub-bands of audio frames of the temporarily stored audio to determine the output level. In the Non-Final Rejection at p. 3 (29 August 2007), the examiner noted that Replay Gain determines the RMS level of each MP3 track. The examiner also noted that calculating the RMS level inherently requires temporarily storing the MP3 track. In fact, Replay Gain discloses decompressing an MP3 to generate a waveform usable to determine the peak amplitude, since an MP3 is not a waveform. Replay Gain at § Peak Amplitude Data Format ¶¶ Compressed files, Implementation. RMS energy calculation requires a waveform as well as peak amplitude calculation, so RMS energy calculation also requires decompressing an MP3 file to create a waveform for just enough time to execute sampling. Replay Gain at § RMS Energy ¶ General concept. Decompressing an MP3 file inherently makes use of scale factors of sub-bands of audio frames. ISO at p.37. So calculating the RMS energy of an MP3 requires decoding the MP3 using scale factors. In the Non-Final Rejection at p. 3, the examiner correlated the RMS energy calculated by Replay Gain to the claimed output level, so Replay Gain discloses using scale factors of sub-bands of audio frames of temporarily stored audio to determine an output level as claimed. All other claim 1 limitations not analyzed *supra* are rejected for the reasons set forth in the Non-Final Rejection at pp. 3-5. Therefore, claim 1 is rejected as obvious over Replay Gain in view of MP3Gain and further in view of ISO.

Claims 2-19 and 21-23 all include the new limitation concerning detecting an output level of temporarily stored audio analyzed in claim 1 *supra*, and are rejected for the same reasons

Application/Control Number: 10/809,780 Page 4

Art Unit: 2615

10

15

expressed in claim 1. All other limitations not specifically analyzed are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the Non-Final rejection at pp. 5-12. Therefore, claims 2-19 and 21-23 are rejected as obvious over *Replay Gain* in view of *MP3Gain* and further in view of *ISO*.

Allowable Subject Matter

5 The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

2. Claim 20 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 20 is limited to the system of claim 19. This claim limits the peak level/average level determination by determining the peak level/average level of the audio file by accumulatively adding only sampled ones of the scale factors. While *Replay Gain* calculates an RMS value of a decompressed MP3 file, the sub-band scale factors are only for decompressing the MP3 file and not determining the RMS value. *Replay Gain* at §§ RMS Energy, Peak Amplitude Data Format ¶¶ Compressed files, Implementation. Therefore, claim 20 is allowable over *Replay Gain* in view of *MP3 Gain* and further in view of *ISO*.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5

10

15

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WALTER F. BRINEY III whose telephone number is (571)272-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,780 Page 6

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10

5

/wfb/ 3/15/08

/Sinh N Tran/

15 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2615